

[redacted] b6 -2

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 25, 2009 11:00 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: Agent Bishop  
**Attachments:** Agent Bishop Completed Version.doc

b6 -1

b6 -2

[redacted] Here is the attached manuscript. Thanks. Mike

b6 -2

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 25, 2009 7:52 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Agent Bishop

b6 -1

b6 -2

Greetings, [redacted]

b6 -2

I really enjoyed visiting with you regarding my book, *Agent Bishop*, this morning. I have spent two years writing it, and consider that experience one of the most gratifying of my life.

As I mentioned, the book presents an interesting dichotomy. I hope you enjoy reading it!

One thing I forgot to mention is that I have considered including copies of some of the letters of commendation that I have received from FBI directors from J. Edgar Hoover to Louis Freeh at the end of certain chapters regarding cases that I participated in. I also have pictures of some of the people involved and news clippings on some of the cases. I could make those copies available to you for review if you wish.

As I mentioned, the publishers, "Cedar Fort, Inc." of Springville, Utah are very anxious to publish this book, contingent upon its approval by FBIHQ, so I would greatly appreciate any timely attention you could give it.

Kindest regards!

Samuel M. "Mike" McPheters

[redacted] b6 -1



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

April 21, 2009

Mr. Samuel M. McPheters

b6 -1

Re: Manuscript titled, "Agent Bishop"

Dear Mr. McPheters,

This letter is in response to the February 25, 2009, submission for review, pursuant to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Prepublication Review Policy (PRP) (Manual of Administrative Operations and Procedures (MAOP), Part I, 1-24), of your manuscript titled, "Agent Bishop."

The manuscript was reviewed pursuant to the terms of the PRP and it was concluded that nothing contained in the submission fell within a restricted area of disclosure. Therefore, there is no objection to your making the manuscript, as presented, for publication.

You may include your former title or position as a biographical detail if it is given no more prominence than other significant biographical details, and if it is accompanied by a reasonably prominent disclaimer advising that the views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the FBI.

Should you have any questions, please contact [redacted] at 540-868-1697. Thank you for your participation in the FBI's prepublication review process. b6 -2

Sincerely,

*mailed 4/21/09*

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division

PREPUB-4064

257A HQ-1599262-150

PREPUBLICATION REVIEW OFFICE INPUT SHEET

Prepub #: APR09-078

Date/Method of Receipt: UNET 2/27/09

Requestor/Author Information: Mike ~~Pheters~~ McPheters

Name: same

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone:

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Title/Description:

# of pages: 233

manuscript, "Agent Bishop"

Assignment Information:

Assigned to:  Hardy

Difficulty:      Simple                      Medium                      Difficult

Estimated Completion Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Closed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Response Letter: \_\_\_\_\_

Miscellaneous: Letter sent to

HUMAN INTELLIGENCE

*This is going to be a long one -  
Same rules -  
3rd agent  
Names, informants -  
public source  
etc.,  
etc.  
3rd*

b6 -1 INDICTMENT

WITNESS

b6 -2

b6 -2

[redacted] b6 -2

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 19, 2009 3:51 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Agent Bishop Inquiry

b6 -1

b6 -2

b6 -2

Hello [redacted] Greetings and hope you had a good weekend.

[redacted] I am really concerned about being contacted by my publisher any day now as to the status of the Bureau approving my book and having to tell them that you have had it almost two months but haven't approved it. It will look bad, and they might back off. It is infinitely difficult to obtain a publisher, and I can't afford that to happen.

b6 -2

You mentioned the other day that you were calling someone and would get back with me within the next day or two. Please do that and at least tell me what the questions are. I thought giving [redacted] the pseudonym resolved it.

b6 -1

I talked to a retired agent that used to work in the research unit of records management this morning. He advised me it was his opinion that when I signed the agreement in [redacted] it was that I couldn't write a book for two years after I retired and that was the only stipulation. I have been retired since [redacted] If that is the case, is this all for naught?

b6 -1

Could you give me a call?

[redacted]  
Thanks. Mike

*Standard  
of conduct  
ethics* b6 -1

To: [redacted] (RMD) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Prepublication Review Office - 2 cases

b6 -2

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Thanks [redacted]

b6 -2

As soon as I receive the manuscripts we will review them and get you a response.

Take care,

[redacted]

b6 -2

From: [redacted] (RMD) (FBI)  
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 12:50 PM  
To: [redacted] (FBI)  
Subject: Prepublication Review Office - 2 cases

b6 -2

b6 -2

UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

Good afternoon [redacted]

b6 -2

I am [redacted] Coordinator of the Prepublication Review Office. My office's function is to review intended publications by current/former FBI employees to determine if the employee has included information obtained during FBI employment, which should not otherwise be released. Examples would be classified information, Rule 6e, sensitive techniques, pending investigations or prosecutions, source information, personal privacy info, FBI information not available to the general public through means such as FOIPA, the media or court records, etc.

b6 -2

As is more common than not, it is necessary that we call upon FBI "subject matter experts" to review the submissions of current/former FBI employees as the subject matter at issue is beyond the general expertise of my employees. It is in that regard, that I am contacting you.

I am sending two manuscripts, one from [redacted] Mike McPheters titled "Agent Bishop" and the other one is from [redacted] John Hauss titled "Taking the Conn and Nicking the Conn." Both manuscripts talk of using "human source information." Please review and advise if any information is releasable.

b6 -1

General Rules-We have 30 business days to respond to the author. We would like to respond to the requester as soon as we can. Also, as the material is proprietary information, it is not to be disclosed/shared beyond those persons involved in the review process. [redacted] was kind enough to give me your name.

b6 -2

Thank you

b6 -2

[redacted]  
Prepublication Review Office  
Record Management Division  
170 Marcel Drive, Room M-08  
Winchester, VA 22602-4843  
(540) 868-1697

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] b6 -2

---

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 24, 2009 10:37 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: Update

b6 -1

b6 -2

[redacted] Sorry about flooding you with the same email, but my computer didn't show that the first two went through. b6 -2  
Strange! Anyway, have a good day! Mike McPheters

---

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 24, 2009 7:34 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Update

b6 -1

b6 -2

Greetings [redacted] I was just checking on the status of your review of *Agent Bishop*. I hope it's going good. Kindest b6 -2  
Regards. Mike McPheters

[redacted] b6 -2

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 27, 2009 12:16 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: Corrections on Agent Bishop  
**Attachments:** Agent Bishop Completed Version.doc

b6 -1

b6 -2

Greetings, [redacted] Here is the final corrected copy I referred to. I hope this doesn't inconvenience you. Thanks again! Mike McPheters

b6 -2

---

**From:** Mike McPheters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 26, 2009 12:59 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Corrections on Agent Bishop

b6 -1

b6 -2

Hi [redacted] As I commenced another review of my book today, I found a few corrections, mostly very minor. I will have them done by tomorrow and will forward the corrected version to you. Like I say, they weren't many, and not very significant, but enough that I wanted to rework it a little. Thanks. Mike McPheters

b6 -2



[REDACTED] b6 -2

**From:** Mike McPheters [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 25, 2009 10:52 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Agent Bishop

b6 -1

b6 -2

Greetings, [REDACTED]

b6 -2

I really enjoyed visiting with you regarding my book, *Agent Bishop*, this morning. I have spent two years writing it, and consider that experience one of the most gratifying of my life.

As I mentioned, the book presents an interesting dichotomy. I hope you enjoy reading it!

One thing I forgot to mention is that I have considered including copies of some of the letters of commendation that I have received from FBI directors from J. Edgar Hoover to Louis Freeh at the end of certain chapters regarding cases that I participated in. I also have pictures of some of the people involved and news clippings on some of the cases. I could make those copies available to you for review if you wish.

As I mentioned, the publishers, "Cedar Fort, Inc." of Springville, Utah are very anxious to publish this book, contingent upon its approval by FBIHQ, so I would greatly appreciate any timely attention you could give it.

Kindest regards!

Samuel M. "Mike" McPheters  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1

[REDACTED] b6 -2

**From:** shirley prince [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 31, 2009 1:30 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Thesis Submission From Shirley J. Prince  
**Attachments:** Thesis\_PrinceS\_AQ\_Division.doc

b6 -2

b6 -2

[REDACTED] b6 -2

Attached is my thesis that I successfully defended at [REDACTED]. Please review for approval to release to the school and to be externally published. If you have any problem or need to contact me, I can also be reached through [REDACTED].

b6 -2

Thank you,

Shirley J. Prince

[REDACTED] b6 -2

--  
Shirley Prince

4/1/2009

59A-HQ-C1599262-151

PREPUB-4072



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

April 21, 2009

Ms. Shirley Prince

b6 -2

Re: Thesis entitled, "Federal Bureau of Investigation Intelligence Analysis Model: Critical Reforms of the FBI Used to Develop a Strategic Multi-Dimensional Analytic Response Team (SMART) Model Intelligence Unit"

Dear Ms. Prince,

This letter is in response to the March 31, 2009, submission for review, pursuant to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Prepublication Review Policy (PRP) (Manual of Administrative Operations and Procedures (MAOP), Part I, 1-24), of your thesis titled, "Federal Bureau of Investigation Intelligence Analysis Model: Critical Reforms of the FBI Used to Develop a Strategic Multi-Dimensional Analytic Response Team (SMART) Model Intelligence Unit."

The manuscript was reviewed pursuant to the terms of the PRP and it was concluded that nothing contained in the submission fell within a restricted area of disclosure. Therefore, there is no objection to your making the remarks, as presented, for publication.

You may include your current title or position as a biographical detail if it is given no more prominence than other significant biographical details, and if it is accompanied by a reasonably prominent disclaimer advising that the views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the FBI.

Should you have any questions, please contact [redacted] at 540-868-1697. Thank you for your participation in the FBI's prepublication review process. b6 -2

Sincerely,

*mailed 4/29/09*

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division

PREPUB-4073

259A-HO-C15992-2-152

PREPUBLICATION REVIEW OFFICE INPUT SHEET

Prepub #: PPR-09-096

Date/Method of Receipt: UNET 3/31/09

Requestor/Author Information: Shirley J. Prince

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

b6 -2

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Title/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

# of pages: 90

thesis titled, "FBI INTELLIGENCE Analysis Model:  
CRITICAL Reforms of the FBI USED TO  
Assignment Information: Develop a Strategic Multi-Dimensional . . . . "

Assigned to: \_\_\_\_\_

Hardy

b6 -2

Difficulty:

Simple

Medium

Difficult

Estimated Completion Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Closed: 4/29/09

Date of Response Letter: \_\_\_\_\_

Miscellaneous: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNET  
5/20/09

b6 -2

[redacted]

---

**From:** C. Weismantel [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 20, 2009 12:08 AM ~~SE/4~~  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Natural Sunlight  
**Attachments:** Natural Sunlight 6.3 LATEST WIP - Prepub.doc

b6 -2

b6 -2

[redacted] thanks for taking the time to review this.

b6 -2

By way of background, [redacted] I've been writing as a hobby for about 10 years. Natural Sunlight is the first and only work I've submitted to pre-publication review. I don't have the exact date it was originally submitted, but I have a letter dated 07/19/2006 from David M. Hardy, Section Chief, Record/Information Dissemination Section, RMD memorializing their receipt of that draft. b6 -2

Over the last three years, I've tinkered with Natural Sunlight and changed, removed, or added scenes and dialogue. The plot of the story is the same but I've highlighted anything that looked at all different from the 2006 version, even if the scene was the same but the dialogue was at all changed. If you have any questions as you review, please feel free to contact me on my cell phone. Thanks very much,

SA Christopher G. Weismantel

b6 -2

5/20/2009

159A-HQ-C 1597203-153

PREPUB-4075



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C. 20535-0001

June 1, 2009

SA Christopher Weismantel

b6 -2

Re: Screenplay titled, "Natural Sunlight" (Revisions)

Dear Mr. Weismantel ,

This letter is in response to the May 20, 2009, submission for review, pursuant to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Prepublication Review Policy (PRP) (Manual of Administrative Operations and Procedures (MAOP), Part I, 1-24), of your screenplay titled, "Natural Sunlight" (Revisions).

The manuscript was reviewed pursuant to the terms of the PRP and it was concluded that nothing contained in the submission fell within a restricted area of disclosure. Therefore, there is no objection to your making the manuscript, as presented, for publication.

You may include your current title or position as a biographical detail if it is given no more prominence than other significant biographical details, and if it is accompanied by a reasonably prominent disclaimer advising that the views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the FBI.

Should you have any questions, please contact [redacted] at 540-868-1697. Thank you for your participation in the FBI's prepublication review process. b6 -2

Sincerely,

*mailed 6/3/09*

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division

259A-HQ 01557-12-154

PREPUB-4076